



Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

DATE MAILED:

•	COMMISSIONER OF PATER	HI O AND I DADEWARKS
	Washington, D.C. 20231	-7

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	NVENTOR	A	ITORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/681,891	06/21/0	1 LIN		Н	13558-51
025716 BLUMENFELD 168 NORTH	-	QM02/1019 — % SANDWEISS, P.C.	٦	PELHAM	KAMINER , J
4TH FLOOR		_		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLAYTON MO	63105-376	3		3742	10/19/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/681,891						
Office Action Summary	·	LIN ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit .					
The MAILING DATE of this communic	Joseph M Pelham	th the correspondence address					
Period for Reply	canon appears on the cover sheet with	in the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply very any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reunication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	₽b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ard	e withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-26</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a) _ approved b) _ di	sapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are requ	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority d 	locuments have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority d	locuments have been received in Ap	oplication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation* See the attached detailed Office action	itional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page	O-948) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
l.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 2					

Art Unit: 3742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-3 recite the intended use of the invention in a sub-zero degree temperature environment, but do not, however, impose any evident further limitations on the structure of the invention. Hence claims 2 and 3 fail to further limit the scope of claim 1, and their scope is therefore indefinite. Moreover, claim 1 recites a "target object…located in an environment of… temperatures…below 0° C," implying that the sub-zero temperature environment is part of the invention, and that the heater is manufactured in such an environment. The scope of the claim is thus unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1-5, 7, and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5308311 to Eggers et al.

Referring to Figure 2, column 5, lines 46-58, column 6, lines 48-50, column 8, lines 6-23, and column 9, lines 37-41, Eggers et al discloses a copper substrate 21, metal oxide dielectric layers 17, 18, a polymer based resistive heater 16, and operating temperatures (up to 500° C) clearly implicating power densities in excess of 200 watts/in² for a target blade of dimensions approximately 2-4 cm². Further, the blade is inherently operable at temperatures below -150° C.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 6, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eggers et al.

While Eggers et al does not explicitly disclose aluminum, ceramic, or steel substrates, such cannot be regarded to patentably distinguish the invention from the prior art of record. Eggers et al discloses the appropriateness of applying the heater structure to a rigid substrate. It would have been obvious to apply the heater of Eggers et al to aluminum, ceramic, or steel substrates depending strictly upon the particular use intended for the heater, such as a ceramic cooktop or stainless steel or aluminum kitchen implements.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 14-26 are allowed.

Conclusion

5. The prior art cited below is pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. The examiner urges, for the sake of expedient prosecution, that the following prior art be considered in conjunction with the previously cited prior art when responding to this action.

U.S. Patents 6222166, 6233817, 6137089, 6121585, 5181006, 4857384, 5475199, 5889261, and 5859581 all disclose highly pertinent thick film resistive structures.

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph Pelham, at (703)308-1709. Status inquiries of a general nature should be directed to the Technology Center 3700 receptionist at (703) 308-0861.

Joseph Pelham Primary Patent Ex Art Unit 3742

JP

October 17, 2001

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.